

Content available at: <https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals>

International Journal of Oral Health Dentistry

Journal homepage: [www.ijohd.org](http://www.ijohd.org)

## Original Research Article

## Melgaco's mixed dentition analysis: Its applicability and consistency in Panchkula district population

Gurucharan Dass Suneja<sup>1</sup>, Shruti Mittal<sup>1\*</sup>, Astitav Mittal<sup>2</sup>, Prerna Hoogan Teja<sup>1</sup>, Chinar Dahiya<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Dept. of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Swami Devi Dayal Hospital and Dental College, Panchkula, Haryana, India<sup>2</sup>Maulana Azad Medical college, New Delhi, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 30-05-2024

Accepted 04-07-2024

Available online 10-10-2024

## Keywords:

Melgaco's mixed dentition analysis

Space analysis

Linear regression

Combined mesio-distal width

SMI

SCPM

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Odontometric measurements, are carried out for determination of space discrepancies in the dental arches. It is observed in orthodontic circles that a maximum number of cases of malocclusion appear during mixed dentition stage, which has long time period of time i.e from the 6 to 12 years. Space analysis in mixed dentition is an important aspect in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning.

**Aim and Objective:** The present study was done to assess and compare the applicability of Melgaco's linear regression equation for mixed dentition analysis in the population of Panchkula district of Haryana state in North India.

**Materials and Methods:** Study was conducted on 200 patients who attended the OPD of department of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics, Swami Devi Dyal Hospital and Dental College, Barwala, Panchkula, Haryana, in order to evaluate applicability of Melgaco's mixed dentition analysis. A digital vernier caliper (0-150mm) SL 1112 INSIZE CO. Ltd with resolution 0.01 was used.

**Result:** On comparing the values of our present study with the values derived from the Melgaco's Brazilian population. The p value was  $\leq 0.001$  in males, females and overall sampled population which is considered to be statistically very highly significant when measurements of our study were compared with those for Melgaco's Brazilian subjects.

**Conclusion:** The regression equation given by Melgaco's et. al. for sampled Brazilian population does not apply on our sampled population of Panchkula district. Hence, a new regression model was derived for this population.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: [reprint@ipinnovative.com](mailto:reprint@ipinnovative.com)

## 1. Introduction

Odontometric measurements are important in the determination of space discrepancies in the dental arches. In clinical orthodontics, odontometric measurements are done to determine the arch perimeter and total tooth mass.<sup>1,2</sup> Mixed dentition analysis is the difference in measurements between space present in the dental arch and required

space required for accommodating the erupting permanent teeth in this period. Treatment of dental crowding and malocclusion is normally initiated after referral of a child at the age of 10-12 years, i.e. second transition stage of mixed dentition when upper incisors give appearance of bug teeth protruding outside due to tortious canine path of eruption, which leads to concern to parent and child. Hence, space analysis is utmost important step to be done during this stage for proper diagnosis and treatment planning. Maintaining good space allows many patients to obtain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [shrutimtdr@yahoo.in](mailto:shrutimtdr@yahoo.in) (S. Mittal).

good occlusion and proper growth and facial development. Melgaco et al in 2007 conducted a study in Brazilian population based on study from Rio de Janeiro, in which four permanent mandibular incisors and molars were used as predictor for estimated combined width of unerupted permanent canines and premolars. For this he assessed a linear regression equation. Hence, this study was conducted to assess and compare the applicability of linear regression equation by Melgaco's analysis for mixed dentition.<sup>3</sup> The present study was conducted to evaluate and compare the applicability of Melgaco's linear regression equation for mixed dentition analysis in the population of Panchkula district of Haryana state in North India.


## 2. Aims and Objectives

1. To calculate the sum of mesiodistal width of mandibular permanent 4 incisors and 2 first molars (SMI) and sum of mandibular permanent canines and all premolars (SCPM) in population of Panchkula.
2. To compare the SCPM Panchkula population SCPM of Brazilian population.
3. To compare actual SCPM of subjects from Panchkula with predicted values of SCPM calculated using Melgaco's regression equation.
4. To compare gender based values of above parameters.

## 3. Materials and Methods


This study was done on study casts taken before treatment in 200 subjects whose age fell between 15 - 25 yrs who attended the OPD of Orthodontics department.

The patients with full complement of permanent dentition upto 2<sup>nd</sup> molars, with no previous history of orthodontic treatment were included in the study. The rotated teeth, fractured teeth, presence of proximal caries, occlusal attrition or with any alteration in shape and size and syndromic patients eg: CLP were excluded from the study.



**Figure 1:** Digital vernier caliper

measured at the width area of contact point on proximal surfaces (Figure 1). Measurements were taken parallel to occlusal surface and perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** Tooth width measurement using vernier caliper

Following regression equation was applied as given by Melgaco's<sup>3</sup>

$$Y = a + bX \text{ in which}$$

Y (dependent variable) = the predicted sum of mesiodistal widths in millimeters of the mandibular permanent canines and first & second premolars on both sides.

The constant a is the y intercept, and the constant b is the slope of the regression.

$$Y = 7 + 0.824X \text{ (for male patients)}$$

$$Y = 9.2 + 0.766X \text{ (for female patients)}$$

$$Y = 6.55 + 0.829 \text{ (for total population)}$$

## 4. Results

Data was analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) software version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and MS Excel. The interobserver and intraobserver reliability error was calculated using correlation coefficient from 20 randomly selected models and were found to be 0.917 and 0.910 respectively, showing consistency of the tooth measurements. Descriptive statistics was then compiled. Paired and unpaired t- test were applied to find the significance of difference for both males and females (Table 1). Spearman rank correlation test was done for correlation analysis.

**Table 1:** Distribution of subjects according to the gender

Gender distribution	Number of cases	Percentage
Males	65	32.50%
Females	135	67.50%

1. Determination of mean values, mean difference and standard deviation in mm for sum of mesiodistal widths of the mandibular permanent first molars and incisors (SMI) and the sum of mesiodistal widths of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) on both sides of mandibular arch in population of Panchkula district (Table 2).

- (a) The value of SMI was found to be  $44.16 \pm 2.04$  mm in males,  $43.20 \pm 2.46$  mm in females and  $43.51 \pm 2.37$  mm in overall population.
- (b) SCPM was found to be  $40.62 \pm 2.07$  mm in males,  $39.91 \pm 2.34$  mm in females and  $40.14 \pm 2.27$  mm in overall population.
- (c) Difference between SMI and SCPM was found to be  $3.53 \pm 1.91$  mm in males,  $3.29 \pm 1.70$  in females and  $3.37 \pm 1.77$  mm in overall sample population. p value in males, females and overall sample population was found to be  $\leq 0.001^{***}$  which is statistically highly significant.

2. Comparison between actual values of sum of combined mesiodistal width of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) in Panchkula population with actual values of sum of combined mesiodistal width of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) in Brazilian population (Table 3).

- (a) The mean SCPM was found to be  $45.12 \pm 2.45$  in males,  $43.40 \pm 2.45$  in females and  $44.26 \pm 2.46$  in overall Melgaco's Brazilian sample population.
- (b) The SCPM was found to be  $40.62 \pm 2.07$  in males,  $39.90 \pm 2.33$  in females and  $40.14 \pm 2.27$  in overall Panchkula sample population.
- (c) The SCPM was found to be 4.49 in males, 3.49 in females and 4.12 mm in case of Brazilian and Panchkula sample population. The p value was found to be  $0.035^*$  in males,  $0.039^*$  in females and  $0.052^*$  between Brazilian and Panchkula sample population which is statistically significant.

3. Determination of predicted values of mesiodistal width of mandibular permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) with Melgaco's regression equation and its comparison with actual values (measured) of sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) in population of Panchkula district (Table 4)

- (a) The SCPM according to Melgaco's equation was found to be  $43.38 \pm 1.68$  mm in males,  $42.29 \pm 1.88$  mm in females and  $42.86 \pm 1.95$  mm in overall sample population.
- (b) The SCPM was found to be  $40.62 \pm 2.07$  in males,  $39.90 \pm 2.33$  in females and  $40.14 \pm 2.27$  in overall sample population.
- (c) The difference between predicted (according to Melgaco's equation) and actual sum of combined

widths of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) and was found to be  $2.75 \pm 1.77$  mm in males,  $2.38 \pm 1.55$  mm in females and  $2.71 \pm 1.63$  mm in overall sample population. The p value in case of males, females and overall sample population was found to be  $\leq 0.001^{***}$  which is statistically very highly significant.

4. Comparison of gender difference between predicted and actual sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) in population of Panchkula district (Table 5)

- (a) The SCPM according to Melgaco's equation<sup>13</sup> was found to be  $43.38 \pm 1.68$  mm in males,  $42.29 \pm 1.88$  mm in females.
- (b) The SCPM according to measurements on dental study models was found to be  $40.63 \pm 2.07$  in males,  $39.91 \pm 2.33$  in females.
- (c) The p value was found to be  $0.007^{***}$  (statistically very highly significant) and  $0.036^*$  (statistically significant) for predicted and actual sum of combined widths of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) respectively, for males and females in sample population.

Hence, the following regression equation was derived for Panchkula population,

For males is  $Y = 15.005 + 0.580X$ ,

For females is  $Y = 9.134 + 0.712X$

For overall (males and females) is  $Y = 10.458 + 0.682X$ .

## 5. Discussion

The aim of the study was to derive predicted values for combined mesiodistal widths of permanent canines, first and second premolars for population of Panchkula district according to Melgaco's mixed dentition analysis and to further compare it with the actual widths of permanent canines and premolars.

Mixed dentition analysis is imperative for the diagnosis and timely intervention of arch length discrepancies. It becomes fundamental in determining the treatment plan which might involve serial extractions, tooth eruption guidance, space maintenance, space gain or monitoring of occlusion.<sup>4</sup>

Melgaco's prediction equation was based on study conducted on Brazilian population, so the accuracy of this method is questionable when applied to population of different race, ethnic origin or in different geographic location. Studies on various other populations have proved that these methods of mixed dentition analysis either overestimate or underestimate the actual widths of permanent canines and premolars.

**Table 2:** Mean values, mean difference and standard deviation in mm for SMI and SCPM in population of Panchkula district

	SMI		SCPM		Difference (SMI- SCPM)		P- value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean difference	SD	
Male (n- 65)	44.16	2.04	40.63	2.07	3.53	1.91	<0.001
Female (n-135)	43.20	2.46	39.91	2.34	3.29	1.70	<0.001
Overall (n- 200)	43.51	2.37	40.14	2.27	3.37	1.77	<0.001

**Table 3:** Comparison of actual values of SCPM in population of Panchkula district with Brazilian population

	Actual Brazillian population		Actual Panchkula population		Difference (Brazilian – Panchkula population)		P- value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	SD	
Male	45.12	2.45	40.62	2.07	4.49	2.09	0.035
Female	43.40	2.45	39.90	2.33	3.29	2.34	0.39
Overall	44.26	2.46	40.14	2.27	4.12	2.28	0.052

**Table 4:** Comparison between predicted values using melgaco's regression equation and actual values of SCPM in Panchkula population

	Predicted SCPM		Actual SCPM		Difference (Predicted-actual)		P- Value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	SD	
Male (n- 65)	43.38	1.68	40.63	2.07	2.75	1.77	<0.001
Female (n- 135)	42.29	1.88	39.91	2.33	2.38	1.55	<0.001
Overall (n- 200)	42.86	1.95	40.14	2.27	2.71	1.63	<0.001

**Table 5:** Showing comparison of actual and predicted values of SCPM between males and females in Panchkula population

	Predicted SCPM			Sig.	Actual SCPM		P value
	Mean	SD	P value		Mean	SD	
Male	43.38	1.68	0.007	Sig.	40.63	2.07	0.036
Female	42.29	1.88			39.91	2.33	

### 5.1. Comparison between predicted and actual values with Melgaco's regression equation for the sum of combined mesiodistal width of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM)

Our results were not in concordance to Melgaco's original study for Brazilian population<sup>3</sup> where the difference between predicted and actual sum of combined widths of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) was found to be  $0.02 \pm 1.49$  mm in males,  $0.04 \pm 1.36$  in females and  $0.00 \pm 1.44$  in overall population.

Our results were in concordance to the results of study by Rasool et al in 2008 on study models (100 males & 100 females) to check the applicability of Melgaco's equation in Islamic population of Islamabad, Pakistan. They found the difference between predicted and actual sum of combined widths of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) to be  $0.616 \pm 2.31$  mm in males,  $0.680 \pm 2.59$  mm in females and  $0.648 \pm 2.45$  mm in overall sampled population.

### 5.2. Comparison of gender differentiation in predicted and actual values of SCPM

In our study, the mean predicted sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) according to Melgaco's equation<sup>3</sup> was found to be  $43.38 \pm 1.68$  mm in males,  $42.29 \pm 1.88$  mm in females. Whereas, the mean actual sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) was found to be  $40.62 \pm 2.07$  in males and  $39.90 \pm 2.33$  in females, respectively. Our study was similar to previous studies where they found gender difference in SMI as well as SCPM.

In Melgaco's study in 2007, the mean predicted sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) was found to be  $45.14 \pm 1.95$  mm in males and  $43.44 \pm 1.66$  mm in females. Whereas, the mean actual sum of combined width of permanent canines and premolars on both sides of arch (SCPM) was found to be  $45.12 \pm 2.45$  in males and  $43.41 \pm 2.14$  in females.<sup>5</sup>

Orthodontic literature reveals that tooth size differs among gender with males having larger teeth than

females and tooth size difference among males and females in Peruvian, Senegalese, Croatian, Brazilian, Southern Chinese, Turkish, Italian, Uganda, Indian and Pakistani population reported. Several researchers have not considered sexual dimorphism in their population. In our study tooth size difference was significant among genders with males having larger teeth than females.<sup>5</sup>

### 5.3. Correlation of actual (SCPM) with predicted (SCPM) by other studies for males, females and overall sample in different population

In our study, the correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) is 0.572 for males, 0.750 for females and 0.711 for overall sampled population. The correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) in males was less when compared to other studies in which they predicted sum of combined width of canine and premolars on the basis of mandibular incisors like Tanaka Johnston ( $r=0.65$ ) in 1974 for white Americans, Frankel et al.<sup>6</sup> ( $r=0.79$ ) in 1986 for Black Americans; Durgekar et al.<sup>7</sup> ( $r=0.62$ ) in 2009 for Lingayats in Belgaum; Philip et al.<sup>8</sup> ( $r=0.68$ ) in 2010 for Punjabi population; Shah et al.<sup>9</sup> ( $r=0.69$ ) in 2013 for Gujrati population. The correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) in males was less when compared to other studies in which they predicted sum of combined width of canine and premolars on the basis of combined width of mandibular incisors and mandibular first molars like Melgaco's et al.<sup>3</sup> ( $r=0.79$ ) in 2007 for Brazilian population Rasool et al.<sup>8</sup> ( $r=0.71$ ) in 2008 for Islamabad population, Shah et al.<sup>9</sup> ( $r=0.71$ ) in 2013 for Gujrati population.

This difference of the results between our study and Melgaco's et al. study could be due to sample size, racial and ethnic difference among the two population. The correlation coefficient ( $r=0.711$ ) for overall sampled population suggests that SMI is a better predictor for estimating the sum of mesiodistal widths of permanent canines and premolars (SCPM) for the present population. It might mean that around 70% of the polygenes that determine tooth size are shared between the mandibular incisors and molars and the canines and premolars.

Comparison of linear regression equations for predicting mesiodistal widths of permanent canines and premolars in various studies for males, females, and combined overall subjects.

Ballard and Wylie et al.<sup>10</sup> (1947) for Caucasian population, Hixon and old father (1958) for caucasian population, Tanaka and Johnston<sup>1</sup> (1974) for Caucasian population, Ferguson et al.<sup>11</sup> in American black population (1978), al- Khadra et al.<sup>12</sup> (1993) for Saudi Arab population, Lee Chan S et al.<sup>13</sup> (1998) for Asian American population, Nourallah et al.<sup>14</sup> (2002) in Syrian population gave a regression equation for both males and females as  $X=9.41+0.527Y$ ,  $X=0.6474 Y+ 3.493$ ,  $Y=9.18+0.54Y$ ,  $Y$

$= 9.9350+ 0.5288X$ ,  $Y = 8.6+ 0.55X$ ,  $Y = 7.5+ 0.6X$ ,  $Y = 4.93+ 0.52X$  respectively.  $Y$  represent the sum of mesiodistal widths of permanent canines and premolars in mm (both sides) whereas  $X$  is the sum of the mandibular permanent first molars and incisors in mm (both sides).

Frankel and benz et al.<sup>6</sup> (1986) for American Black gave regression equation for males  $Y = 0.72X+ 5.97$  and for females  $Y = 0.49 X+ 10.34$  and for both  $Y = 0.64X+ 8.30$ ; Yuen et al.<sup>15</sup> (1998) for Hong Kong chinese population gave regression equation for males  $Y = 8.22+ 0.58X$  and for females  $Y = 6.66+ 0.64X$ ; Diagne et al.<sup>16</sup> (2003) for Senegalese population gave regression equation for males  $Y=5.45+ 0.72X$  and for females  $Y=8.74+ 0.56X$  and for both  $Y=5.67 + 0.70 X$ ; Melgaco et al.<sup>17</sup> (2006) for white Brazilian population gave regression equation for males  $Y=8.9+ 0.58 X$  and for females  $Y=9.2+ 0.55X$ .

Altherr et al.<sup>18</sup> (2007) gave regression equation for males  $Y = 0.59X+ 8.47$  and for females  $Y = 0.65X+ 6.20$ ; Melgaco et al.<sup>3</sup> (2007) for Brazilian population gave regression equation for males  $Y=7+ 0.824X$  and for females  $Y = 9.2 + 0.766X$  and for both  $Y = 6.55+ 0.829X$ ; Uysal et al. (2009) for Turkish population gave regression equation for males  $Y = 4.17+ 0.73X$  and for females  $Y = 4.51+ 0.71X$  and for both  $Y = 3.74+ 0.75X$ ; Pawar and Bhat<sup>19</sup> (2016) for Indian population gave regression equation for males  $Y = 0.770X + 8.761$  and for females  $Y = 0.632 X + 14.07$  and for both  $Y = 0.792X + 7.4$  respectively.

In our study, equation derived from logistic regression for Panchkula Population for males is  $Y=15.005+0.580X$ , for females is  $Y=9.134+0.712X$  and for overall (males and females) is  $Y= 10.458+0.682X$ .

## 6. Conclusions

The simplified equations proposed are easy to use and seem to be a practical and precise method for predicting the mesiodistal width of unerupted canine and premolars. By including mesiodistal widths of first mandibular molars into sum of mesiodistal widths of mandibular incisors gives more accurate values of unerupted canine and premolars as compared to other methods available.

The regression equations proposed in this study are good prediction method to determine the widths of unerupted permanent canine and premolars. Hence, the new equation derived from logistic regression for Panchkula population will add an adjunct in mixed dentition analysis and proper diagnosis and treatment planning.

## 7. Conflict of Interest

None.

## 8. Source of Funding

None.

## References

1. Tanaka MM, Johnston LE. The prediction of the size of unerupted canines and premolars in a contemporary orthodontic population. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1974;88(4):798–801.
2. Jaju KR, Gulve ND, Chitko SS. A new equation for predicting the width of unerupted permanent canines and premolars for cosmopolitan Indian population. *J Indian Orthod Soc.* 2010;2:83–8.
3. Melgaço CA, Araújo MT, Ruellas AC. Mandibular permanent first molar and incisor width as predictor of mandibular canine and premolar width. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2007;132(3):340–5.
4. Al-Bitar ZB, Al-Omari IK, Sonbol HN, Al-Ahmad HT, Hamdan AM. Mixed dentition analysis in a Jordanian population. *Angle Orthod.* 2008;78(4):670–5.
5. Butt S, Chaudhry S, Javed M, Wahid A, EHSAN A, Malik S, et al. Mixed dentition space analysis: a review. *Pakistan Oral Dent J.* 2012;32(3):502–7.
6. Frankel HH, Benz EM. Mixed dentition analysis for black Americans. *Am Acad Pediatr Dent.* 1986;8(3):226–30.
7. Durgekar SG, Naik V. Evaluation of Moyers mixed dentition analysis in school children. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2009;20(1):26–30.
8. Philip NI, Prabhakar M, Arora D, Chopra S. Applicability of the Moyers mixed dentition probability tables and new prediction aids for a contemporary population in India. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2010;138(3):339–45.
9. Shah S, Bhaskar V, Venkataraghvan K, Choudhary P, Mahadevan G, Trivedi K. Applicability of regression equation using widths of mandibular permanent first molars and incisors as a predictor of widths of mandibular canines and premolars in contemporary Indian population. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2013;31(3):135–40.
10. Rasool G, Bashir U, Kundi I, Irshad N, Durrani OK, Shaheed S. Applicability Of Melgaco Equations For Predicting The Size Of Unerupted Mandibular Canines And Premolars In Patients Reporting To Islamic International Dental Hospital, Islamabad. *Pakistan Oral Dent J.* 2008;28(2):165–70.
11. Ferguson FS, Macko DJ, Sonnenberg EM, Shakun ML. The use of regression constants in estimating tooth size in a Negro population. *Am J Orthod.* 1978;73(1):68–72.
12. Al-Khadra BH. Prediction of the size of unerupted canines and premolars in a Saudi Arab population. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1993;104(4):369–72.
13. Chan SL, Jacobson BN, Chwa KH, Jacobson RS. Mixed dentition analysis for Asian-Americans. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1998;113(3):293–9.
14. Nourallah AW, Gesch D, Khordaji MN, Splieth C. New Regression Equations for Predicting the Size of unerupted Canine and Premolars in a Contemporary Population Angle. *Angle Orthod.* 2002;72(3):216–21.
15. Yuen KK, Tang EL, So LL. Mixed dentition analysis for Hong Kong Chinese. *Angle Orthod.* 1998;68(1):21–8.
16. Diagne F, Diop-Ba K, Ngom PI, Mbow K. Mixed dentition analysis in a Senegalese population : Elaboration of prediction tables. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003;124(2):178–83.
17. Melgaco CA, Araújo MT, Ruellas AC. Applicability of three tooth size prediction methods for white Brazilians. *Angle Orthod.* 2006;76(4):644–9.
18. Altherr ER, Koroluk LD, Phillips C. Influence of sex and ethnic tooth-size differences on mixed-dentition space analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2007;132(3):332–9.
19. Pawar RO, Bhat SR. Prediction of Mesiodistal Width of the Mandibular Permanent Canines and Premolars by Utilizing the Mesiodistal Width of Mandibular First Permanent Molars and Incisors. *Int J Sci Study.* 2016;3(12):178–83.

## Author biography

**Gurucharan Dass Suneja**, Ex Junior Resident

**Shruti Mittal**, Professor and HOD  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7820-1561>

**Astitav Mittal**, MBBS Student

**Prerna Hoogan Teja**, Professor

**Chinar Dahiya**, Post Graduate Student

**Cite this article:** Suneja GD, Mittal S, Mittal A, Teja PH, Dahiya C. Melgaco's mixed dentition analysis: Its applicability and consistency in Panchkula district population. *Int J Oral Health Dent* 2024;10(3):195-200.